

**From:** [OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK](#)  
**To:** [Farino, Amber](#)  
**Subject:** FW: comment in support of proposed amendment to GR 14-Appendix  
**Date:** Thursday, April 17, 2025 9:21:54 AM

---

---

**From:** Kate Huber <katehuber@washapp.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 15, 2025 3:31 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>  
**Subject:** comment in support of proposed amendment to GR 14-Appendix

**External Email Warning!** This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

I write to support Professor Chang's proposed amendment to GR 14 – Appendix 1. The requirement for parallel citations is a relic from the past, when practitioners used books, not online databases, for research, and did not usually have ready access to multiple different sources. The vast majority of lawyers and judges now use online resources to access cases. Even people who continue to use books can find a parallel citation online. Given the availability of United States Supreme Court decisions in particular, they are unnecessary. In fact, I would take Professor Chang's proposal further and suggest striking the requirement for parallel citations for cases from all courts. Parallel citations no longer serve the function they once did. They needlessly clutter briefs and add empty words to the word count. This Court should adopt the proposal to discontinue parallel citations.

Sincerely,  
Kate Huber

Kate R. Huber (she/her)  
Washington Appellate Project  
1511 Third Avenue, Suite 610  
Seattle, WA 98101  
206-587-2711, ext. 130  
[katehuber@washapp.org](mailto:katehuber@washapp.org)